

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/808,827	Applicant(s) Gunzburg et al.
	Examiner John S. Brusca	Group Art Unit 1636

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John S. Brusca _____ (3) _____
 (2) Ann Collins _____ (4) _____

Date of Interview 6/8/99

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: *all pending claims*

Identification of prior art discussed:

Faustinella et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicants proposed to amend the claims to recite a 5' to 3' order of elements of the claimed vector that places a heterologous promoter 3' of the heterologous coding sequence of interest. The Examiner stated that such an amendment would require further search. The Examiner suggested that the Applicants file a continuation of the instant application to prosecute the proposed amendment to the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

John S. Brusca

Anne J. Collins

JOHN S. BRUSCA
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1636

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.